#### Transreal Calculus

Prof. Tiago S dos Reis Dr James Anderson FBCS CITP CSci

## Agenda

- Advantages of transreal calculus
- Transdifferential calculus
- Transintegral calculus
- Value to science and society

Advantages of Transcalculus

#### Transcalculus

- Built on the foundation of transreal arithmetic
- Built on the foundation of transreal limits
- Allows the solution of mathematical and physical problems at singularities
- Makes mathematical software more reliable

#### Transreal Number Line









If 
$$x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$$
 then  $f'_{\mathbb{R}^T}(x_0) = f'(x_0)$ 

If  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $f'_{\mathbb{R}^T}(x_0) = f'(x_0)$ 

If  $x_0 \in \{-\infty, \infty\}$  then  $f'_{\mathbb{R}^T}(x_0) = \lim_{x \to x_0} f'(x)$ 

If 
$$x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$$
 then  $f'_{\mathbb{R}^T}(x_0) = f'(x_0)$ 

#### If $x_0 \in \{-\infty, \infty\}$ then $f'_{\mathbb{R}^T}(x_0) = \lim_{x \to x_0} f'(x)$

Otherwise

$$f'_{\mathbb{R}^T}(\Phi) = \Phi$$

Example

$$\frac{d}{dx}e^x = e^x \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^T$$

#### Define

 $\lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \\ y \to x_0}} f(x, y) = L$ 

if and only if, given an arbitrary neighbourhood, *V* of *L*, there is a neighbourhood, *U* of  $x_0$ , such that  $f(x,y) \in V$  whenever  $x \neq y$  and  $x, y \in U \setminus \{x_0\}$ 

 $f: \mathbb{R}^T \to \mathbb{R}^T$  is differentiable at  $\infty$  if and only if there exists

$$\lim_{\substack{x \to \infty \\ y \to \infty}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$$

 $f: \mathbb{R}^T \to \mathbb{R}^T$  is differentiable at  $\infty$  if and only if there exists

$$\lim_{\substack{x \to \infty \\ y \to \infty}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$$

Whence

$$f'_{\mathbb{R}^{T}}(\infty) = \lim_{\substack{x \to \infty \\ y \to \infty}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$$

If  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and there is  $\lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \\ y \to x_0}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$  then f is differentiable at  $x_0$ 

If  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and there is

 $\lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \\ y \to x_0}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \text{ then } f \text{ is differentiable at } x_0$ 

Whence

$$f'_{\mathbb{R}^T}(x_0) = \lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \\ y \to x_0}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$$

If  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable then there exists  $\lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \ y \to x_0}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$ 

If  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable then

there exists 
$$\lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \ y \to x_0}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$$

Whence

$$f'_{\mathbb{R}^T}(x_0) = \lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \\ y \to x_0}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$$

If  $f : \mathbb{R}^T \to \mathbb{R}^T$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  then, given an arbitrary neighbourhood, V of  $f'_{\mathbb{R}^T}(x_0)$ , there is a neighbourhood, U of  $x_0$ , such that  $\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \in V$ 

whenever  $x < x_0 < y$  and  $x, y \in U$ 

If  $f : \mathbb{R}^T \to \mathbb{R}^T$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  then, given an arbitrary neighbourhood, V of  $f'_{\mathbb{R}^T}(x_0)$ , there is a neighbourhood, U of  $x_0$ , such that  $\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \in V$ 

whenever  $x < x_0 < y$  and  $x, y \in U$ 

Whence 
$$f'_{\mathbb{R}^T}(x_0) = \lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \ y \to x_0}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$$

$$(a,b) \coloneqq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^T; a < x < b\}$$

 $(a,b] \coloneqq (a,b) \cup \{b\}$ 

 $[a,b) \coloneqq \{a\} \cup (a,b)$ 

 $[a,b] \coloneqq \{a\} \cup (a,b) \cup \{b\}$ 

We could define  $[a,b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^T ; a \le x \le b\}$ but then we would have  $[a,\Phi] = \emptyset$ 

We prefer our definition which gives

 $[a, \Phi] = \{a, \Phi\}$ 

$$|I| \coloneqq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{, if } I = \emptyset \\ k - k & \text{, if } I = \{k\} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{R}^T \\ b - a & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We say  $\chi_A$  is the *characteristic function* of a set, *A*, if and only if

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \in A \\ 0 & , \text{ if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

A set,  $P = (x_0, ..., x_n)$ , is said to be a *partition* of [a,b], if and only if  $x_0, ..., x_n \in [a,b], x_0 = a, x_n = b$ and  $\begin{cases} \text{if } n = 2 \text{ then } x_0 \leq x_1 \\ \text{if } n > 2 \text{ then } x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_{n-1} \leq x_n \end{cases}$ 

 $\varphi : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^T$  is a *step function*, if and only if there is a partition,  $P = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$  of [a,b], and  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}^T$ , such that

$$\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j X_{I_j},$$

where  $I_j = (x_{j-1}, x_j]$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ 

The set of step functions on [a,b] is S([a,b])

Let 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{R}^T$$
 and let  $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j X_{I_j}$  be a step function

on [*a*,*b*]. Then the *integral in*  $\mathbb{R}^T$ , of  $\varphi$  on [*a*,*b*], is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^T}^b \varphi(x) \, dx \coloneqq \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j; \, c_j \neq 0}}^n c_j \Big| I_j \Big|$$

In transreal numbers,  $\measuredangle$  is not equivalent to  $\ge$ 

For example  $\Phi \not< 0$  but  $\Phi \ge 0$  does not hold

 $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^T$  is integrable in  $\mathbb{R}^T$  on [a,b], if and only if

$$\inf\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{T}}^{b} \varphi(x) \, dx; \, \varphi \in S([a,b]) \text{ and } \varphi \not< f\right\} = \sup\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{T}}^{b} \sigma(x) \, dx; \, \sigma \in S([a,b]) \text{ and } f \not< \sigma\right\}$$

Whence the integral of f in  $\mathbb{R}^T$  on [a,b] is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{T}}^{b} f(x) \, dx \coloneqq \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{T}}^{b} \varphi(x) \, dx; \, \varphi \in S([a,b]) \text{ and } \varphi \leq f \right\}$$

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function. Then f is Riemann integrable in  $\mathbb{R}$ , if and only if f is integrable in  $\mathbb{R}^T$ 

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function. Then f is Riemann integrable in  $\mathbb{R}$ , if and only if f is integrable in  $\mathbb{R}^T$ 

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{T}}^{b} f(x) \, dx$$

Let  $f: [-\infty, \infty] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function that is Riemann integrable on every closed subinterval of  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . The improper Riemann integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|(x) dx$  exists if and only if f is integrable in  $\mathbb{R}^{T}$ 

Let  $f: [-\infty, \infty] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function that is Riemann integrable on every closed subinterval of  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . The improper Riemann integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|(x) dx$  exists if and only if f is integrable in  $\mathbb{R}^{T}$ 

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx$$

Let  $f: [-\infty, \infty] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function that is Riemann integrable on every closed subinterval of  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . The improper Riemann integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f|(x) dx$  exists if and only if f is integrable in  $\mathbb{R}^{T}$ 

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{T}}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx$$

In future work we do not need absolute convergence

Let  $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^T$  be a function such that  $f((a,b]) \subset \mathbb{R}, f(a) = \infty$  and f is Riemann integrable on any subinterval in (a,b]. Then the Riemann integral,  $\int_a^b |f|(x) dx$ , exists, if and only if f is integrable in  $\mathbb{R}^T$ 

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^T$  be a function such that  $f((a,b]) \subset \mathbb{R}, f(a) = \infty$  and f is Riemann integrable on any subinterval in (a,b]. Then the Riemann integral,  $\int_{a}^{b} |f|(x) dx$ , exists, if and only if f is integrable in  $\mathbb{R}^T$  $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = \int_{x}^{b} f(x) \, dx$ 

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^T$  be a function such that  $f((a,b]) \subset \mathbb{R}, f(a) = \infty$  and f is Riemann integrable on any subinterval in (a,b]. Then the Riemann integral,  $\int_{a}^{b} lf l(x) dx$ , exists, if and only if f is integrable in  $\mathbb{R}^T$  $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{T}}^{b} f(x) \, dx$ 

In future work we do not need absolute convergence

Example

If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f(a) \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^T}^a f(x) \, dx = 0$$

Example

If  $a \in \{-\infty, \infty, \Phi\}$  then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^T}^a f(x) \, dx = \Phi$$

Example

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^T}^{\Phi} f(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^T}^{a} f(x) \, dx = \Phi$$

#### Conclusion

- Transreal derivatives extend real derivatives
- Transreal integrals extend real integrals
- It is known that Newton's laws of motion extend to transarithmetic and transcalculus

Value

#### Reach and Reliability

- Transcalculus allows the solution of mathematical and physical problems at singularities
- Makes mathematical software more reliable

# Transcalculus is a *very* good idea